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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=294 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.004 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.062$
$w R$ factor $=0.160$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=15.6$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## 1,4-Bis(8-tert-butyl-6-methyl-4H-1,3-benzoxazin-3-yl)benzene

The solid-state structure of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, is characterized by benzoxazine units with a cisoid geometry, and with the N atoms displaced from the planes of the heterocycles. The two benzoxazine groups are nearly coplanar.

## Comment

Solid-state structures of benzoxazine derivatives are of interest partly due to their potential to inhibit the enzyme $\gamma$ aminobutyric acid transaminase (Andrews et al., 1986). The benzoxazine system is also a critical component of a series of resorcinarene derivatives (Arnecke et al., 1995, El Gihani et al., 1995), which have been employed as macrocyclic receptors to encapsulate small molecules or ions selectively (Atwood \& Szumna, 2002; Kröck et al., 2004).

(I)

The reaction of 1,4-diaminobenzene with 2-tert-butyl-4methylphenol and four equivalents of formaldehyde results in the formation of the title bis-benzoxazine derivative, (I). The bond lengths in the heterocyclic groups of (I) are comparable with those of related compounds (Andrews et al., 1986), with average distances of 1.455 (3) $\AA$ for $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}($ methylene) and 1.419 (3) $\AA$ for $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ (methylene), and an average $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ angle of $114.4(2)^{\circ}$. Atoms N9 and N24 are displaced from the benzoxazine planes, defined by $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 18 / \mathrm{O} 7$ and C25-C33/ O22, by 0.522 (2) and 0.541 (2) $\AA$, respectively. The methylene group located between O and N lies at distances of 0.203 (2) (C8) and 0.212 (3) $\AA$ (C23) for C8 and C23, respectively, from the benzoxazine plane. A cisoid arrangement of the tert-butyl groups on the two heterocyclic units is observed.

The solid-state structure of (I) is further characterized by the nearly perpendicular orientation of the benzoxazine planes with respect to the phenylenediamine unit. The angles between the the phenylenediamine plane, defined by atoms $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6 / \mathrm{N} 9 / \mathrm{N} 24$, and the heterocyclic planes containing O7 and O22 are 81.14 (8) and $83.39(8)^{\circ}$, respectively. This results in a small angle of $2.61(6)^{\circ}$ between the two benzoxazine systems.

Molecules of (I) pack into columns parallel to the $a$ axis, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ contacts between $\mathrm{H} 8 A$ and $\mathrm{H} 8 B$ on the methylene atom C 8 , and the benzene rings of an adjacent molecule (see Table 2). A second set of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions is observed between $\mathrm{H} 23 A$ and $\mathrm{H} 23 B$ on the methylene atom C 23 , and the benzene rings on another adjacent molecule.


Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2
View of the crystal packing, showing the columns of (I) aligned parallel to the $a$ axis. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions are shown as blue lines.

## Experimental

A mixture of 1,4 -diaminobenzene $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ and aqueous formaldehyde solution ( $37 \% \mathrm{wt}, 1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 18.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 25 ml ) was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. 2-tert-Butyl-4methylphenol ( $1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 9.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was then refluxed for 48 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and the volatile materials were removed under vacuum. The aqueous mixture obtained was chilled before acidifying with 5 ml of 3 N HCl , followed by extraction with diethyl ether ( 60 ml ) and chloroform ( 40 ml ). The combined organic phases were washed with an aqueous potassium carbonate solution ( 20 ml ) and distilled water ( 20 ml ), followed by drying with anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvents under reduced pressure, (I) was purified
by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with a 3:1 hexanesdichloromethane mixture (yield: $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$; m.p. $422-424 \mathrm{~K}$ ).

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$M_{r}=484.66$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=10.267(1) \AA$
$b=15.443(2) \AA$
$c=17.913(2) \AA$
$\beta=92.098(2)$
$V=2838.3(6) \AA^{\circ}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD
$\quad$ diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
$\quad(S A D A B S ;$ Sheldrick, 2004$)$
$\quad T_{\min }=0.965, T_{\max }=0.996$

21975 measured reflections 5180 independent reflections 3379 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.062$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.4^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=4 \\
& D_{x}=1.134 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \mu=0.07 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=294(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Block, colourless } \\
& 0.25 \times 0.23 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0696 P)^{2}\right. \\
+0.5199 P] \\
\text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.18 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.16 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}
\end{gathered}
$$

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.062$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.160$
$S=1.03$
5180 reflections
333 parameters
H-atom parameters not refined

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$.

| C1-N9 | $1.424(3)$ | N9-C10 | $1.464(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C4-N24 | $1.427(3)$ | O22-C30 | $1.374(3)$ |
| O7-C15 | $1.373(3)$ | O22-C23 | $1.457(3)$ |
| O7-C8 | $1.452(3)$ | C23-N24 | $1.417(4)$ |
| C8-N9 | $1.419(3)$ | N24-C25 | $1.460(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| C15-O7-C8 | $115.29(18)$ | C30-O22-C23 | $115.3(2)$ |
| N9-C8-O7 | $114.25(19)$ | N24-C23-O22 | $114.5(2)$ |
| C8-N9-C1 | $117.7(2)$ | C23-N24-C4 | $117.3(2)$ |
| C8-N9-C10 | $108.66(19)$ | C23-N24-C25 | $108.8(2)$ |
| C1-N9-C10 | $116.4(2)$ | C4-N24-C25 | $116.0(2)$ |
| N9-C10-C16 | $111.5(2)$ | N24-C25-C31 | $111.4(2)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).
$C g 1$ represents the centroid of phenyl ring C1-C6, Cg2 that of ring C11-C16 and $C g 3$ that of ring C26-C31.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 A \cdots C g 3^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.97 | 2.66 | $3.608(3)$ | 166 |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 B \cdots C g 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.97 | 2.75 | $3.592(3)$ | 145 |
| $\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{H} 23 A \cdots C g 2^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.97 | 2.68 | $3.650(3)$ | 174 |
| $\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{H} 23 B \cdots C g 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.97 | 2.95 | $3.807(3)$ | 148 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$; (ii) $-x+2,-y+1,-z$.
H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ of the parent methylene and aromatic C atoms, and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}$ of the parent methyl C atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances in the range $0.93-0.97 \AA$.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: SAINTPlus (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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